



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,472	10/16/2003	Ashvinkumar Jayantilal Sanghvi	MFCP.107184	5440
<div>45809 7590 06/18/2009</div> <div>SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. (c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J</div>				
<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2445</div>		<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>		
<div>MAIL DATE</div> <div>06/18/2009</div>		<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>PAPER</div>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/685,472

Applicant(s)SANGHVI, ASHVINKUMAR
JAYANTILAL**Examiner**

WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD

Art Unit

2445

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Duncan (Applicant Rep).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: McGee / Helsper.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Regarding 101 rejections, discussed changing method claims to computer storage media, discussed need for hardware to be used within a system claims. Further review of the specification will be needed regarding computer storage media of claim.
2. Discussed including contents of claim 3 (which was not rejected with 102 or 103) within independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445